PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference K2271PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416										
International application No. PCT/JP2004/008152	International filing date (day/month/)	114									
		06.06.2003									
International Patent Classification (IPC) or na B21B27/10, C10M101/02	tional classification and IPC	A A A									
Applicant NIPPON STEEL CORPORATION e	t al.										
This report is the international pre- Authority under Article 35 and trans	iminary examination report, estat smitted to the applicant according	olished by this International Preliminary Examining g to Article 36.									
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	of 4 sheets, including this cover s	heet.									
3. This report is also accompanied b	-										
a. 🛛 sent to the applicant and to	a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 2 sheets, as follows:										
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).											
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.											
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).											
This report contains indications relating to the following items:											
☐ Box No. I Basis of the opin	nion										
Box No. II Priority											
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability											
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement										
()											
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application										
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application											
Date of submission of the demand	Date of c	ompletion of this report									
09.08.2004	27.12.2	2004									
Name and mailing address of the internation preliminary examining authority:	al Authorize	Authorized Officer									
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236	•										
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Telephor	ne No. +49 89 2399-7903									

IAP12 Reside STIPTO UD DEC 2005

International application No. PCT/JP2004/008152

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Basis of the report Box No. I 1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)

2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets when have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) **Description**, Pages as originally filed 1-6, 8-22 received on 10.12.2004 with letter of 10.12.2004 Claims, Numbers received on 10.12.2004 with letter of 10.12.2004 1-2 Drawings, Sheets as originally filed 1/2-2/2 a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: 3. 🗆 ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

Bo	x No. II	Priority	у												
1. 🖾				establishe he reques		no pri	ority h	ad be	en cla	imed du	ue to the	failure t	to furnis	h within th	he
	Presen	bed time		ne reque	icu.	_									

- ⊠ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).

 □ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).
- This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has
- 2. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
- 3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-2

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-2

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-2

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - **D1**: JP-A 5306397
- 2. Document D1 is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art for the subject-matter of independent claim 1. This claim differs from D1 in principal in that:
 - (i) The lubricant has a viscosity of 800 mm²/s (=800cST) or less at 40°C.
 - (ii) A non combustible gas is used whose flow rate is 2000 cm³/min.
 - (iii) The flow velocity of the gas is 1 m/s or higher.
 - (iiii) The amount of oil per square meter of roll surface is from 0.01cm³ to 20cm³.
 - (iiiii) The grain size of the granulated or atomized lubricant is 1 mm or smaller.
- 2.1 The subject-matter of claims 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 2.2 The features according to (i) to (iiiii) solve, in a non foreseeable manner with respect to the available prior art D1, the problem of lowering frictional force to reduce roll abrasion and rolling energy and to enhance the surface quality. Claim 1 is hence considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 3. Claim 2 is dependent on claim 1 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 4. The subject- matter of claims 1 to 2 is, without any doubts, industrially applicable (Article 33(4) PCT).

GLMSPAMD

PCT/JP2004/008152 Nippon Steel Corporation Our Ref.: K 2271 PCT

CLAIMS

VOSSIUS & PARTNER
PATENTANWÄLTE
SIEBERTSTR. 4
81675 MÜNCHEN

What is claimed is:

1. A lubricated hot rolling method,

using a lubricating oil which contains one kind or two or more kinds among an high-basic alkaline-earth metal phenate, high-basic alkaline-earth metal carboxylate, high-basic alkaline-earth salicylate, or high-basic alkaline-earth metal sulfonate having a basicity of 40 mgKOH/g or higher, and has a viscosity at 40°C of 800 cSt or less, said lubricated hot rolling method comprising the step of:

supplying, when a material to be rolled is supplied between two rolls, said lubricating oil to said rolls, by using a noncombustible gas whose flow rate for one lubricating nozzle is 2000 cm³ or higher per minute, and whose flow velocity is 1 m per second or higher, in 0.01 cm³ or more and 20 cm³ or less per 1 m² of surface area of said rolls, after said lubricating oil is granulated or atomized into particulates having an average size of 1 mm or smaller.

2. The lubricated hot rolling method according to claim 1, wherein

the supply of said lubricating oil is started before said material to be rolled is bit between said two rolls, and an amount supplied of said lubricating oil is $1~\rm cm^3$ or smaller per $1~\rm m^2$ of surface area of said rolls.

Nippon Steel Corporation Our Ref.: K 2271 PCT

> any fire accident, when a lubricating oil which is with one kind or two or more kinds among high-basic alkaline-earth metal phenate, high-basic alkaline-earth metal carboxylate, high-basic alkaline-earth metal salicylate, high-basic alkaline-earth metal sulfonate, and the like, is supplied by a gas atomizing method.

After dedicated examinations to solve the problems, the inventor discovered that it is possible to perform a safe and stable lubricated hot rolling while preventing fire from occurring even if a lubricating oil is supplied by the gas atomizing method in which the aforesaid highbasic alkaline-earth metal compound of relatively high viscosity is blended, with the following conditions:

- (1) the average particulate size of the lubricating oil is made to be less than 1 mm,
- (2) the flow rate of the noncombustible gas (for example, air, helium, nitrogen, argon, or the like) sprayed concurrently with the lubricating oil in a form of particulates is made to be 2000 cm3 or more per minute,
- (3) the spraying speed of the gas is made to be 1 m or more per second, and
- (4) the maximum amount of lubrication supply is made to be 20 cm³ or less per 1 m² of the roll surface area.

In order to prevent fire caused by the lubricating oil, for example, scattering of the lubricating oil sprayed from the nozzle to areas other than the rolls should be prevented as much as possible, and 100% of the lubricating oil sprayed from the nozzle should adhere to the roll surface. This is because that when the lubricating oil